

ANAMET Report 026
August 1999

**Draft EA Guidance Document:
Assessment of calibrated
vector network analysers (VNA)**

J M P de Vreede

ANAMET REPORT



ANAMET reports are produced by, and for, the members of ANAMET. They are intended for fast dissemination of technical information for discussion purposes and do not necessarily represent an official viewpoint. No responsibility is accepted by the author(s) or ANAMET for any use made of the information contained in this report.

For further information about ANAMET and its activities contact:

Internet: <http://www.npl.co.uk/npl/clubs/anamet/index.html>

E-mail: anamet@npl.co.uk

Comments on this report should be sent to:

Mail: ANAMET
Building 72
National Physical Laboratory
Queens Road
Teddington
Middlesex
TW11 0LW

Fax: 020 8943 6037 (UK)
+44 20 8943 6037 (International)

Extracts from this report may be reproduced provided that the source is acknowledged.

This report has been approved by the ANAMET Steering Committee.

DRAFT EA GUIDANCE DOCUMENT
ASSESSMENT OF CALIBRATED VECTOR NETWORK ANALYSERS (VNA)

Foreword

This report contains the latest draft version of the guidance document "Assessment of vector network analysers". This version has been sent to me by Jan de Vreede, Convenor of the EA Experts Group on HF Electrical Quantities, who is responsible for developing the document. As with previous versions, the idea is for us to read and comment on this draft so that a final version can be produced and published by EA. The final version of the document will have a significant impact on European laboratories seeking accreditation for vector network analyser measurements, so it is important that we get our comments heard at this stage.

It is anticipated that this version of the document is close to the version which will eventually be published (probably later on this year). This version supersedes earlier drafts of this document (*i.e.* ANAMET Reports 002 and 019).

Nick Ridler
Chairman of ANAMET

June 1999

DRAFT EA GUIDANCE DOCUMENT

**ASSESSMENT OF CALIBRATED
VECTOR NETWORK ANALYSERS (VNA)**

Contents:

1. Introduction
2. Documentation
3. Reference Standards
4. Calibration and Modelling
5. Uncertainty Assessment
6. Uncertainty Assessment for One-port Measurements
7. Uncertainty Assessment for Two-port Measurements
8. Calculation of Uncertainty
9. References

Annex Examples of Uncertainty Budgets

1. Introduction:

This document describes the measurement procedures which are normally to be carried out for an assessment of VNAs to meet the requirements of accreditation against EN45001. The principles given in this document apply to any frequency range for which VNAs can be used and to any transmission medium, however, some of the techniques given for the assessment of uncertainties are only applicable to coaxial lines at frequencies above 500 MHz. The procedures specifically apply to measurements in coaxial line fitted with connectors having mating surfaces conforming to the relevant IEC 457 Recommendation [1] or to the IEEE Standard 287 [2] such as:

<u>Line Diameter</u>	<u>Connector</u>
14 mm	GR 900 (or equivalent)
7 mm	Type-N (precision N)
7 mm	PC 7 (or equivalent)
3.5 mm	PC 3.5

The procedure may have to be modified for other types of connector, including waveguide transmission line. Whilst the calibration of a VNA must, by definition, cover phase as well as magnitude capabilities, the uncertainties produced using this document are only applicable to magnitude quantities. In a future edition phase uncertainty will be covered as well.

2. Documentation

The laboratory should conform to the normal requirements of accreditation with respect to procedure documents that describe the calibration and use of the VNA, this should include at least the following:

- General description of equipment
- List of reference standards and ancillary equipment
- Operating instructions (reference to manufacturer's documents)
- Method of calibration (reference to this document)
- Uncertainty analysis and uncertainty budgets
- Precautions for connector use
- Requirements for environmental conditions
- Other precautions to be taken

3. Reference Standards

A number of standards will be required as follows:

3.1 A Calibration Kit

At least one calibration kit will be required for each connector type. The calibration kit supplied by the manufacturer will normally suffice. The calibration kit or kits used must be specified and uniquely identified in the procedure document. Each kit should contain a good short-circuit standard capable of supporting the inner-conductor of a beadless reference air line, one for each sex of connector.

3.2 A set of Beadless Airlines

At least one beadless reference airline will be required for each type of connector, with appropriately dimensioned contacts at each end. The nominal length of the airline should be between 75 mm and 300 mm. Its physical dimensions should be recorded, together with their estimated uncertainties, after measurement by a method which is traceable to national standards. The relevant dimensions are

- a) the length between the mating faces of the outer conductor
- b) the length of the inner conductor [for sexless connectors this should be measured from end to end. The reference surface for measurement of a female contact should be its end. That for a male contact should be the shoulder formed at the nominal inner-conductor diameter]
- c) the average outer diameter of the inner-conductor
- d) the average bore diameter of the outer-conductor.

A beadless airline supplied as part of a VNA manufacturer's verification kit may be used if its dimensions are suitably certified.

The geometrical length and ratio of bore and outer diameters can be used to determine both the phase shift introduced by the airline and the nominal characteristic impedance of the airline. A conversion between geometrical and electrical characteristics should be done using the following formula:

$$Z_0 = 1/2\pi \cdot \sqrt{\mu/\epsilon} \cdot \ln(b/a), \quad (1)$$

where b and a refer to the outer and inner diameter of the airline. Hence the uncertainties in the geometrical quantities fully determine its electrical uncertainties.

3.3 A calibrated step attenuator or a set of calibrated fixed attenuators

These are used to verify the linearity of the VNA with respect to the national standards for RF attenuation. A series of calibrated fixed attenuators (e.g. 3, 6, 10, 20, 30, 30 dB attenuators) can be used to generate steps up to 90 dB or higher. However, a step attenuator will generally provide a more repeatable measurement, particularly if a programmable one is used. The step attenuator need not normally be calibrated at more than one frequency, although traceability to national standards will be required at this frequency. Its purpose is to check the linearity of both channels of the VNA by carrying out full-two-port calibrated S_{21} and S_{12} measurements. The measurements are normally carried out at one frequency in the range of approval. The frequency should be toward the bottom end of the frequency range where the attenuator has better repeatability, normally below 1000 MHz for a VNA with 45 MHz to 18 GHz frequency range. The attenuator, or attenuators should be capable of covering the full dynamic range for which accreditation is sought.

3.4 A Traceability Kit

The purpose of this kit is to demonstrate traceability to national standards on a continuing basis. The contents of this kit will have to be agreed with the accreditation body. For *one* connector type for which accreditation is sought, a kit similar to a manufacturer's verification kit will be needed, augmented by additional components. These additional components will comprise at least one fixed attenuator and at least one broadband mismatch, both to be measured at a number of frequencies covering the accredited frequency range. For subsequent connector types a kit similar to a VNA manufacturer's verification kit will suffice.

4. Mathematical models and Calibration

Different mathematical models and associated calibration measurements are used to bring a VNA in full operational specifications (the so-called calibration of the VNA). It is up to the laboratory which one is the most suitable method for its purposes. In most cases it will not clear to the user of a VNA how the mathematical model of each type of calibration is exactly implemented in the VNA's software. Hence the effect of imperfections in the calibration items and in the measurements themselves will not be self-evident.

The laboratory always should follow its own procedures of calibrating and operating its VNA. This procedure usually will deviate from the assessment procedure outlined below, especially for the set of frequency points. Obtaining full confidence that the assessment procedure given below is valid for the normal practice of the user means that the assessment procedure should be carried out using both set-ups. It should lead to a consistent set of measurements.

The usual precautions concerning connector care should be taken: first check and clean all connectors to be used.

5. Uncertainty Assessment

Instead of trying to implement all possible models a blackbox approach is used for uncertainty assessment. An analysis is necessary to assure that such an approach is adequate for a specific VNA set-up.

The evaluation is based upon the following philosophy. If a VNA is calibrated correctly and an ideal lossless transmission line is inserted between a device used during calibration and the relevant port, the VNA should measure the same values of S-parameters as inserted during calibration, however with a phase shift. If the calibration is not perfect, residual error terms will exist. These will be visible due to the changing phase relations between the residual vector (located at the measuring port) and the now in phase shifted characteristics of the calibration device.

E.g. due to the use of a non-ideal 50 Ω load in determining the directivity an incorrect vector will be assigned as directivity term to compensate for the non-zero reflection. Remeasuring *without* airline will result in a zero reflection, remeasuring *with* an ideal airline will show the interaction of both vectors (the "directivity" and the "ideal load") separated by a fixed length of airline. In a frequency scan this leads to a continuously changing phase in the measurand, the so-called ripple. The periodicity Δf of this ripple is dependant on the length of the airline used: $\Delta f = c / 2L$ with L as length of airline (e.g. 1500 MHz for 10 cm)

Information can be obtained from the magnitude of the ripple if the magnitudes of the vectors involved only change slowly with respect to the periodicity of the ripple. A long airline will produce fast ripples, necessitating a high resolution scan.

At low frequencies, about below 500 MHz, the available airlines become less ideal (deviating from the 50 ohm characteristics as calculated from their dimensions). Also very long (more than 30 cm) airlines are necessary, making it almost impossible to obtain one complete period.

Assessment as given below should be carried out for all relevant S-parameters, and for other connector types, where appropriate. In the description given below typical values are given for the sources of uncertainties; they will be used in the examples given in the Annex.

Calibrate the VNA in accordance with the procedure document for the relevant measurements across the full frequency range of accreditation. Use 200 or more frequency points for obtaining reliable measurement data, eg. about 10 points per ripple period. Check that the calibration is valid by measuring a previously known standard. The ports of the VNA are located at the connector-interface where the calibration items are connected during calibration.

6. Uncertainty assessment for One-port measurements, U_{VRC}

For measurements of S_{11} and S_{22} the error model for a VNA can be represented using only the major error terms as follows (formula 2):

$$U_{VRC} = D + T\Gamma + M\Gamma^2 + R_{VRC}$$

where:

Γ	is the Measured Voltage Reflection Coefficient
D	is the Measured Effective Directivity
T	is the Estimated Overall Effect of Tracking and Linearity
M	is the Measured Effective Test Port Match
R_{VRC}	represents all the Random contributions

The above expression is not intended as a definitive model of all error terms. The values for the terms are not used as corrections, i.e. they all have an assumed value of zero, but for each one there will be an associated uncertainty. These uncertainties should be based on measured data, where this is possible and other sources, such as the manufacturer's specifications, where measurements cannot provide a good estimate of the uncertainty. The random contributions will include at least the following:

System repeatability (Resolution)

System repeatability (Noise)
 Connector repeatability
 Effects of cable flexure
 Effects of ambient conditions

The term effective, as in effective directivity, is used to denote the residual directivity after a full calibration of the relevant ports using the specified calibration kit has been carried out.

The following procedures can be used to obtain data on the uncertainty contributions mentioned above (for simplicity it is assumed that the measurements takes place at port x, where x is either 1 or 2). Where relevant the procedures below should be carried out for both ports.

6.1 Uncertainty in Magnitude

6.1.1 Measurement of Effective directivity

Connect the traceable beadless airline to the measurement port and terminate it with a suitable "matched" load (a load with Voltage Reflection Coefficient (VRC) in the range 0.1 to 0.2 is most suitable). Make a measurement of S_{11} and display 'linear' magnitude against frequency on the VNA screen. Use 'autoscale' or some other means to provide suitable axis scaling.

The display should show a discernible sinusoidal 'ripple' superimposed on the VRC plot of the load itself, see fig 1. Compute the effective directivity, D , as a function of frequency from the magnitude of the ripple (formula 3):

$$D = \frac{\text{Maximum Ripple Amplitude}}{2}$$

The maximum ripple amplitude should be obtained from adjacent peaks and troughs with adjustment made for any slope caused by the variation with frequency of the VRC of the terminating load. The plot gives some indication of the variation of effective directivity with frequency and will normally show a worse directivity at the higher frequencies. As indicated in paragraph 5 more discrimination, i.e. more ripples, will be obtained in proportion to the length of the airline, a 300 mm airline will give ripples with a period of 500 MHz and a 100 mm line 1500 MHz. The line length will clearly limit the lowest frequency at which a meaningful result can be obtained, it should be possible to make a reasonable estimate of the effective directivity at frequencies down to 1 GHz using a 300 mm airline. For purposes of assigning a value for D it is recommended that the frequency range is not subdivided into more than three ranges, eg 1 GHz - 8 GHz; 8 GHz - 12 GHz; 12 GHz - 18 GHz.

In the case of a calibration using a broadband 50 ohm this load can be used as an alternative: the same formula applies. The use of another, calibrated 50 ohm, might lead to problems as its reflection coefficient might be of the same order as the effective directivity.

The procedure may be repeated with other airlines to check for consistency and should in any case be repeated several times using the same airline both with and without performing the VNA calibration procedure so that a good estimate can be made of the random variations in the value for effective directivity.

At low frequencies the DC value or a very low frequency value (e.g. at 1 kHz) of the calibration load can be used to estimate the uncertainty in the directivity. The maximum of these values and that obtained from the ripple technique at about 1 GHz is suggested to be used in the assessment.

The magnitude of the ripple is directly influenced by the exact value of the characteristic impedance of the airline. Its deviation from nominal and its uncertainty should be included in the final value of the

ripple and hence the effective directivity. The effective directivity should normally be in the range 0.002 to 0.02 (-54 dB to -34 dB). In the examples values of 0.01 and 0.015 are used in the lower and upper frequency band,

6.1.2 Measurement of Effective Test Port Match

This procedure is identical to 6.1.1 except that the short circuit (section 3.1) is used in place of the "matched" load to terminate the airline.

In this case the VNA display (again in linear magnitude mode, after suitable scaling) should show that the loss of the line increases regularly with frequency, but superimposed upon this there will be a ripple which typically increases in amplitude with frequency (though not necessarily monotonically), see fig 2. Compute the 'effective test port match', M , as a function of frequency from the magnitude of the ripple (formula 4):

$$M = \frac{\text{Maximum Ripple Amplitude}}{2}$$

This result is influenced by the effective directivity, D since the ripple obtained for this measurement will include both effects. It is only possible to obtain an approximate value for M applicable to the complete frequency range. However, since the uncertainty due to the test port match is obtained by multiplying by Γ^2 its effect will only be apparent when measuring relatively large values of Γ . The value of D gives an estimate of the uncertainty of the effective test port match M .

The effective port match should normally be in the range 0.005 to 0.02 (-46 dB to -34 dB) . In the examples values of 0.01 and 0.02 are used for the lower and upper frequency range.

6.1.3 Measurement of linearity

The uncertainty due to linearity is obtained from the procedures given in 7.2.1.

Based upon these typical values its contribution to the uncertainty in VRC will be less than 0.004.

6.1.4 Tracking

It is considered that the effect on the overall uncertainty for reflection measurements caused by imperfect tracking between the incident and reflected signals will be relatively small and it is satisfactory to use the manufacturer's value for this contribution, e.g. 0.001.

6.1.5 System repeatability

The system repeatability can be divided between repeatability using the same calibration and repeatability after recalibration. Tests should be conducted to determine the standard deviation of a series of readings using the same calibration without reconnecting the device being calibrated. This test gives a measure of the basic repeatability due to resolution and noise of the system and should be performed for several values of reflection coefficient at a number of frequencies, the internal averaging figure used should be recorded. Tests should also be made of the typical repeatability after recalibration, again this should be performed at a several values of reflection coefficient and several frequencies.

Typical values to be expected are between 0.001 and 0.01. In the examples a value of 0.010 (gaussian with $k=2$) is used.

6.1.6 Connector Repeatability

The test described in 6.1.5, when a recalibration is performed, will include contributions from connectors on the test ports and the calibration standards and it is difficult to separate out these effects. Normally the item being calibrated will be the dominant contribution to connector repeatability, however, it will not always be practical to make repeat measurements on this device, although this is recommended. One approach is to make a series of measurements of "typical" devices by simply reconnecting the device without a recalibration, but with rotation in steps of 120° , and to use the standard deviation of these results as a basis for estimating a global figure for connector repeatability. Separate assessments will be required for different connector types and over a range of frequencies. The more devices used for this assessment the more reliable will be the estimate of the uncertainty and it is recommended that this data is added to on a continuing basis.

For quality connectors used in standards laboratories values of 0.010 (gaussian with $k=2$) are expected.

6.1.7 Cable Flexure

Where cables are used to connect the device being calibrated there is a possibility of errors if the cable is moved after the calibration has been performed. A series of repeat measurements should be undertaken to determine the change in readings when only the cable position is changed in a defined way, e.g. bend through an angle of 90° . It is important to describe and record the way the cable position is changed so that the measurements can be repeated at a later date. Several typical cable positions should be assessed so that a global figure for the uncertainty can be assigned. The measurement should be performed over the accredited frequency range.

Typical values of 0.004 (gaussian with $k=2$) have been obtained.

6.1.8 Ambient Conditions

Ideally VNAs should be operated in typical laboratory conditions, e.g. 18°C to 25°C and RH 20% to 60% and if this is the case the manufacturer's specification can be used as a basis for estimating this uncertainty. Other than the contribution of the device being calibrated, which will have to be assessed at the time of measurement, the most sensitive devices are probably the airlines used in the calibration process but provided they are used within the above conditions their contribution will be negligible. It is often rapid changes in ambient temperature that can effect results, especially if there is a significant change between when the calibration is performed and when the device is calibrated, and this should be taken into account when assessing the uncertainty due to ambient conditions.

In the examples a value of 0.002 will be used.

6.2 Uncertainty in Phase

Still under consideration by the EA HF Experts group for inclusion in a future revision

6.3 Effective Load Match, Γ_L

During the calibration of the VNA for two-port operation the load match of the other test port is determined. In effect this is the first reflection measurement of the calibrated VNA. The load match itself is expected to be not larger than about 0.2 VRC. The uncertainty estimate given in example 1 of the Annex may be used as the effective load match.

This value (0.02 with $k=2$) will be used in the Examples 3 through 5.

6 Uncertainty assessment for Two-port measurements

7.1 Reflection U_{VRC}

For measurements of S_{11} and S_{22} of two-port devices a similar uncertainty model can be used as for one-port measurements, but taking into account also the Effective Load Reflection Coefficient Γ_L (at the other port) attenuated by the nominal attenuation of the device under test, S_{21} (formula 5):

$$U_{VRC} = D + T\Gamma + M\Gamma^2 + R_{VRC} + S_{21}^2\Gamma_L$$

For a 3 dB attenuator this factor is equal to 2.

7.2 Transmission, U_{TM}

For measurements of S_{12} and S_{21} the error model for a VNA can be represented using only the major error terms as follows (formula 6):

$$U_{TM} = L + M_{TM} + I + R_{dB}$$

where:

L	is the measured System Linearity
M_{TM}	is the calculated Mismatch
I	is the estimated or measured Isolation
R_{dB}	represents all the Random Contributions

As with reflection measurements, the above expression is not the definitive error model but indicates how the major terms contribute to the uncertainty. Contributions should be based on measured results wherever possible. The random contributions will be from similar sources as reflection measurements:

- System repeatability (Resolution and Noise)
- Connector repeatability
- Effects of cable flexure
- Effects of ambient conditions

And may be assessed in a similar way as described in paragraphs 6.1.6 through 6.1.8.

7.2.1 Measurement of Linearity

The VNA should be calibrated according to the accredited procedure for S_{21} measurements. The procedure then consists of measuring the step attenuator (section 3.3), at the frequency for which traceability to national standards has been established, with reference to the zero setting of the attenuator. No other attenuators should be included in the circuit and the source level should be set to the level specified in the measurement procedure, this is to ensure that the level at the detectors is approximately the same as it would be for normal measurements. It is recommended that results are obtained at not greater than 5 dB steps over the range for which accreditation is required and, if

possible, at 10 dB greater than this range, e.g. if the accredited range is 0-70 dB results for the range 0-80 dB should be obtained. It is important that random effects are minimised therefore the results should be obtained from a sufficient number of repeat measurements, particularly at the high levels of attenuation. The internal averaging figure should always be adequate for the attenuation step.

It is not normal practice to correct for the linearity errors in VNAs and the results obtained from the measurements mentioned above provide an estimate of the uncertainty that should be assigned to this contribution, in the form $\Delta\text{dB}/\text{dB}$. A plot of the difference between the VNA result and the calibration value against the reference attenuator value is the recommended method for estimating a reliable figure for the linearity uncertainty. The linearity should be assessed from the results between 0 dB and 50 dB since beyond this setting the effects of imperfect isolation will contribute to the errors (see also section 7.2.3).

Typical values for linearity are of the order of 0.002 dB/dB.

7.2.2 Mismatch

There will be an uncertainty due to mismatch arising from the residual source and load reflection coefficients and the input and output reflection coefficients of the item being measured, according to [3] this is (formula 7):

$$M_{TM} = 20 \log_{10} \frac{1 + (|MS_{11}| + |\Gamma_L S_{22}| + |M\Gamma_L S_{11} S_{22}| + |M\Gamma_L S_{21} S_{12}|)}{1 - |M||\Gamma_L|}$$

where M_{TM} is the Effective Test Port Match
 Γ_L is the Effective Load Reflection Coefficient
 $S_{11}, S_{22}, S_{12}, S_{21}$ are the Scattering coefficients of device being measured.

In most cases this will be the dominant term in the uncertainty budget.

7.2.3 Isolation (Crosstalk)

The effects of imperfect isolation between the two ports can be based on the manufacturer's specification figure but this should be checked using the linearity test results at readings above 50 dB. The isolation uncertainty will not be a linear function of the measured attenuation and can be calculated for each measured value of attenuation. If A_a is the attenuation value and A_i the isolation, then according to [4] (formula 8):

$$dA_a = \pm 8.686 \cdot 10^{-\left(\frac{A_i - A_a}{20}\right)} \text{ dB}$$

However, it is usually more convenient to express the uncertainty as a linear function of the measured attenuation, broken down into ranges of say 5 dB. With $A_i = 90$ dB then dA_a (the uncertainty in A_a):

A_a	dA_a
65 dB	0.49 dB
70 dB	0.87 dB
75 dB	1.54 dB

80 dB	2.74 dB
-------	---------

The effects of isolation will vary with frequency, therefore measurements should be made at different frequencies over the accredited range, using attenuators with adequate isolation, in order to check the validity of the manufacturer's specification figures.

7.2.4 System repeatability, resolution

Resolution concerns the reproducibility of relative large signals. In essence this is described in section 6.1.5.

7.2.5 System repeatability, noise floor

The contribution from noise will have a relatively greater impact on transmission measurements and should therefore be assessed for this parameter. The contribution from system noise can be assessed using the step attenuator by making a series of measurements at various levels of attenuation, without recalibration. The uncertainty is obtained from the standard deviation of these repeat measurements and should be assessed at different internal averaging settings. The noise contribution will be dependent on the level of signal reaching the detector and will therefore be dependent on source level and attenuator setting, it is therefore important that these values are recorded. The noise contribution will change significantly with frequency due mainly to the reduction in available signal level at the detectors as the frequency increases. The effect of noise must therefore be evaluated at various frequencies over the range of operation.

7.3 Phase uncertainty in Transmission Measurements

Still under consideration by the EA HF Experts group for inclusion in a future revision

8 Calculation of Uncertainty

The uncertainty contributions should be combined in accordance with EA recommendations to provide an expanded uncertainty based on a coverage factor of $k = 2$ (a level of confidence of approximately 95%). The following points should be considered:

- While most of the contributions can be considered as being uncorrelated there is a possibility that the effective directivity and effective test port match will be correlated to some extent, due to the common factors in the calibration process of a VNA. It is beyond the scope of this document to provide guidance for the evaluation of the correlation coefficients of these contributions and it has not been possible to find any references for such evaluation. It is therefore recommended that, in the absence of reliable information, a correlation coefficient of +1 is assumed, which means that the uncertainty contributions for directivity and test port match should be added together before being combined with the other contribution in the usual way, i.e. root-sum-of-squares.
- The contributions that are dependent on the relative phase of two vectors, such as directivity and test port match will have a probability distribution which is a U-shaped form. The standard uncertainty for these contribution is obtained by dividing the limit value by $\sqrt{2}$. However, when making transmission measurements, the uncertainty contribution calculated using the expression given in section 7.2.2 will be the contribution of three U-shaped contributions and it may argued that a rectangular probability distribution is to be assumed for this contribution. A normal distribution can be assumed for the uncertainties derived from

measured data (type A evaluations). It is recommended that a rectangular distribution is assumed for the effects of ambient conditions and manufacturer's data, unless there is evidence that the distribution is normal. The choice of the form of the probability distribution for the smaller contributions will, in general, have little effect on the expanded uncertainty and it is therefore not necessary to make a detailed study of the likely probability distribution for these contributions.

9 References:

- [1] IEC 457 Recommendation, International Standardisation Organisation, Geneva
- [2] IEEE Standard 287, Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers, New York, USA
- [3] e.g. H. Bayer, Metrologia, 1975
- [4] F. Warner, "Microwave Attenuation Measurements", IEE Monograph 19, 1977

Figures

The two figures mentioned in the main text are assumed to be located here.

ANNEX

Examples of Uncertainty Budgets of Vector Network Analysers

The uncertainty budgets given in this document are not intended to imply any mandatory requirement. They provide guidance on what would be expected for the general approach to documenting the uncertainties derived from using the methods given in this document. These examples do not cover the complete capabilities of typical VNAs.

Typical examples are given:

- low frequency, low reflection in a one-port measurement
- high frequency, high reflection in a one-port measurement
- low frequency, medium reflection in a two port measurement
- low frequency, low loss transmission measurement
- high frequency, high loss transmission measurement

All values concerning the VNA itself are assumed to be obtained using the procedure outlined above. Random uncertainties are assumed to be obtained from actual measurements as described above.

In the examples the contributions are referenced to the relevant section of the main document.

Example 1***One-port Reflection Measurements (magnitude of voltage reflection coefficient) based upon formula 2***

Frequency range	= 30 MHz to 18 GHz
VRC range	= 0 to 0.2
Linearity	= 0.002 dB/dB
Effective directivity	= 0.01
Effective test port match	= 0.01

Contribution	Estimate	Uncertainty For VRC = 0.2	Distribution	Divisor	Standard Uncertainty
Effective Directivity (6.1.1)	0.01	0.01	U-shaped	$\sqrt{2}$	0.0071
Effective Test Port match (6.1.2)	0.01	0.0004	U-shaped	$\sqrt{2}$	0.0003
Sum of correlated quantities		0.0104	U-shaped	$\sqrt{2}$	0.0074
Tracking (6.1.3)	0.001	0.001	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0006
Linearity (6.1.4)	0.004	0.004	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0023
System Repeatability (6.1.5)	0.010	0.010	gaussian	2	0.005
Cable Flexure (6.1.7)	0.004	0.004	gaussian	2	0.002
Ambient Conditions (6.1.8)	0.002	0.002	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0012
Connector Repeatability (6.1.6)	0.010	0.010	gaussian	2	0.005
Combined Standard Uncertainty					0.0107
Expanded Uncertainty ($k = 2$)					0.021

Example 2***One-port Reflection Measurements (magnitude of voltage reflection coefficient) based upon formula 2 -- at high frequencies***

Contribution	Estimate	Uncertainty for VRC = 0.8	Distribution	Divisor	Standard Uncertainty
Effective Directivity (6.1.1)	0.015	0.015	U-shaped	$\sqrt{2}$	0.0106
Effective Test Port Match (6.1.2)	0.02	0.0128	U-shaped	$\sqrt{2}$	0.009
Sum of correlated quantities		0.0278	U-shaped	$\sqrt{2}$	0.0197
Tracking (6.1.3)	0.001	0.001	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0006
Linearity (6.1.4)	0.004	0.004	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0023
System Repeatability (6.1.5)	0.010	0.010	gaussian	2	0.005
Cable Flexure (6.1.7)	0.008	0.008	gaussian	2	0.004
Ambient Conditions (6.1.8)	0.002	0.002	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0012
Connector Repeatability (6.1.6)	0.02	0.02	gaussian	2	0.01
Combined Standard Uncertainty					0.023
Expanded Uncertainty ($k = 2$)					0.046

Example 3***Reflection measurement (magnitude of voltage reflection coefficient) on a two-port device; calculation based upon formula 5***

A 3 dB attenuator is using with a S_{11} and S_{22} not larger than 0.05.

Contribution	Estimate	Uncertainty for 3 dB	Distribution	Divisor	Standard Uncertainty
Effective Directivity (6.1.1)	0.01	0.01	U-shaped	$\sqrt{2}$	0.0071
Effective Test Port Match (6.1.2)	0.01	0.0004	U-shaped	$\sqrt{2}$	0.0003
Sum of correlated quantities		0.0104	U-shaped	$\sqrt{2}$	0.0074
Tracking (6.1.3)	0.001	0.001	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0006
Linearity (6.1.4)	0.004	0.004	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0023
System Repeatability (6.1.5)	0.010	0.010	gaussian	2	0.005
Cable Flexure (6.1.7)	0.004	0.004	gaussian	2	0.002
Ambient Conditions (6.1.8)	0.002	0.002	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0012
Connector Repeatability (6.1.6)	0.010	0.010	gaussian	2	0.005
Effective Load Match (6.3)	0.02	0.020	gaussian	2	0.010
Combined Standard Uncertainty					0.0147
Expanded Uncertainty ($k = 2$)					0.029

Example 4***Transmission Measurements (Attenuation magnitude); calculation based upon formula 6:***

A 20 dB attenuator is using with a S_{11} and S_{22} not larger than 0.05.

Contribution	Estimate dB	Uncertainty for 20 dB	Distribution	Divisor	Standard Uncertainty dB
Linearity (7.2.1)	0.002 dB/dB	0.04	gaussian	2	0.02
Mismatch (7.2.2)	0.01	0.01	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0058
Isolation (7.2.3)	0.0	0.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0
System Repeatability (7.2.4)	0.002	0.002	gaussian	2	0.001
Noise (7.2.5)	0.004	0.004	gaussian	2	0.002
Cable flexure (6.1.7)	0.010	0.010	gaussian	2	0.005
Ambient Conditions (6.1.8)	0.002	0.002	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0012
Connector Repeatability (6.1.6)	0.02	0.02	gaussian	2	0.010
Combined Standard Uncertainty					0.0238
Expanded Uncertainty ($k = 2$)					0.048

Example 5***Transmission Measurements (Attenuation magnitude); calculation based upon formula 6***

A 70 dB attenuator is using with a S_{11} and S_{22} not larger than 0.05.

Contribution	Estimate dB	Uncertainty for 70 dB	Distribution	Divisor	Standard Uncertainty dB
Linearity (7.2.1)	0.002 dB/dB	0.14	gaussian	2	0.07
Mismatch (7.2.2)	0.01	0.01	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0058
Effective Isolation (7.2.3)	0.83	0.83	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.479
System Repeatability (7.2.4)	0.002	0.002	gaussian	2	0.001
Noise (7.2.5)	0.04	0.04	gaussian	2	0.02
Cable flexure (6.1.7)	0.010	0.010	gaussian	2	0.005
Ambient Conditions (6.1.8)	0.01	0.01	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.0012
Connector Repeatability (6.1.6)	0.02	0.02	gaussian	2	0.01
Combined Standard Uncertainty					0.485
Expanded Uncertainty ($k = 2$)					0.97




Figure 1 : Start frequency: 2.000 GHz Stop Frequency: 18.000 GHz




Figure 2: Start frequency: 2.000 GHz Stop frequency : 18.000 GHz